THE HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |) NO. 3:10-cv-5018 RBL |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| HAGEL, STEPHANIE SNYDER, | |
| EMILY COX, KIM CHAPLIN, MOLLY | PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO USA |
| PORTER, FABIOLA ROMERO, | DEFENDANTS' SECOND DISMISSAL |
| ANDREA ROBBINS, JULIA GARFIELD |) (1 st ON DEFENDANTS TOWERY AND |
| ERAN RHODES, ELI EVANS, CHRIS | RUDD) (AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR |
| GRANDE, DAVI RIOS, | RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER TO |
| |) SUBSTITUTE THE USA FOR |
| | DEFENDANTS TOWERY AND RUDD, |
| Plaintiffs, | BASED ON NEW EVIDENCE) |
| | |
| V. | |
| | |
| JOHN J. TOWERY; THOMAS R. RUDD | |
| CLINT COLVIN; CITY OF OLYMPIA | |
| TOR BJORNSTAD, et al., | |
| | |
| Defendants. | |
| | |
| | |

JULIANNE PANAGACOS, MALLORY HAGEL, STEPHANIE SNYDER, EMILY COX,

 $\underline{\text{Panagacos v. Towery}}, \text{ et al-Plaintiffs' response to Federal Defendants' } 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ dismissal motion, and } D$

LAWRENCE A. HILDES P.O. BOX 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227 (360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791 Attorney for Plaintiffs KIM CHAPLIN, , ANDREA ROBBINS, JULIA GARFIELD, ERAN RHODES, ELI EVANS,

CHRIS GRANDE, DAVI RIOS, BRENDAN DUNN, JEFFREY BERRYHILL, and GLEN

CRESPO the Plaintiffs herein, by and through their attorney, hereby respond as follows

to the Federal Defendants' Motion to dismiss as to Towery and Rudd based on the

applicable claims statute:

Plaintiffs Brendan Dunn, Glen Crespo, and Jeffrey Berryhill have all filed Federal Claims

under the Federal Tort Claims Act. In addition, Kate Richmond, Philip Chinn, and others

are in the process of filing such claims and will either file a new action or seek to join

this one at the appropriate time. Brendan Dunn's claim has been formally rejected; the

others have thus far been ignored. Plaintiffs Brendan Dunn, Glen Crespo, and Jeffrey

Berryhill's claims have all been filed more than six months ago making them eligible to

sue the Federal Defendants and rendering the US's argument moot as to all three

Federal Defendants. If the six month claims statute bar were interpreted instead, as the

Federal Defendants seek to have it interpreted, that no one could sue a Federal

employee or the government until the Federal Government or agency formally rejected

the claim, they would have no incentive to so reject and the harmed parties could never

sue. This interpretation would have grave public policy implications and is wholly

unacceptable.

In the event that their claims against the Federal Defendants are dismissed, these

Plaintiffs, the only ones seeking damages from the Federal Government in this matter,

they will refile at the appropriate time as part of a new action with the additional

Panagacos v. Towery, et al-Plaintiffs' response to Federal Defendants' 2nd dismissal motion, and D

LAWRENCE A. HILDES

P.O. BOX 5405

Bellingham, WA 98227

(360) 715-9788 Fax: (360) 714-1791

Attorney for Plaintiffs

2

Case 3:10-cv-05018-RBL Document 90 Filed 02/02/11 Page 3 of 5

claimants/Plaintiffs

In addition, Plaintiffs' counsel has, in the past week obtained a great deal of new

material evidence that is relevant to this action and this motion, including memoranda

from the Pierce County Sheriff's Office and a contract between Defendant Towery and

the Pierce County Sheriff's Office that verify that, in addition to spying on Plaintiffs and

others for the Army, that Towery also did so officially, with the knowledge and approval

of Defendant Rudd, for the Pierce County Sheriff's Office, thus rendering the

substitution of the USA for Defendants Rudd and Towery improper, at least in part, and

rendering the motion herein responded to as to Defendants Rudd and Towery

inapplicable to the extent Towery was working for Pierce County with the agreement

and apparent facilitation of Rudd, since there is no FTCA requirement for suing them in

their individual capacity for work they did for Pierce County, which of course is not a

Federal Agency.

Those documents are attached to Plaintiffs' Declaration of Hildes in support of this

response.

Therefore, for all of the above reasons Defendants motion to dismiss the Federal

defendants should be denied and Plaintiffs' also, accordingly move for reconsideration

on the order granting the US government's motion to substitute into this action in Place

of Defendants Towery and Rudd..

Panagacos v. Towery, et al-Plaintiffs' response to Federal Defendants' 2nd dismissal motion, and D

LAWRENCE A. HILDES P.O. BOX 5405

Respectfully Submitted: February 1, 2011

/S/

LAWRENCE A. HILDES, WSBA #35035 Attorney for Plaintiffs P. O. Box 5405 Bellingham, WA 98227

Telephone: (360) 715-9788 Fax (360) 714-1791

e-mail: lhildes@earthlink.net

PROOF OF SERVICE

Lawrence A. Hildes certifies as follows:

I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to this action. I am a citizen of the United States.

My business address is P.O. Box 5405, Bellingham, WA 98227

On February 1, 2011, I served the following documents(s) described as follows

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO FEDERAL DEFENDANTS 1ST DISMISSAL MOTION on the following persons(s) in this action at the following addresses:

Michael D McKay mdm@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, lmm@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com, hgr@mckay-chadwell.com

Donald L Law dlaw@lldkb.com,

Theodore J Angelis theo.angelis@klgates.com, rhonda.hinman@klgates.com, Pallavi Mehta Wahi pallavi.wahi@klgates.com, bill.hill@klgates.com, bill.hill@klgates.com

Mark N. Bartlett MarkBartlett@dwt.com, barbaramcadams@dwt.com

Michael Diaz michael.diaz@usdoj.gov, ECF-Civ.USAWAW@usdoj.gov, amy.hanson@usdoj.gov, shannon.connery@usdoj.gov, tina.litkie@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

[X] By electronically serving, by filing an electronic copy with the court in such a way that notice will be sent to counsel for Defendant

[X] (FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a member of the BAR of this court, and that the above information is true and correct.

Executed February 1, 2011, at Bellingham, Washington.

| /S/ | |
|--------------------|--|
| Lawrence A. Hildes | |

 $\underline{\text{Panagacos v. Towery}}, \text{ et al-Plaintiffs' response to Federal Defendants' } 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ dismissal motion, and } D$